

Bar of Michigan Juvenile Law Section

The Michael Franck Building 306 Townsend Street Lansing, Michigan 48933-2083 Telephone (517) 372-9030

State

April 17, 1996

CHAIRPERSON Scott K. Hanson 224 W. Burgers Am. Sta. 21

234 W. Baraga Ave.. Ste. 254 Marquette 45655-4751 CHAIRPERSON-ELECT

Thomas G. Doetsch 1025 E Forest Detroit 48207-1024

SECRETARY-TREASURER Margaret Zuzich Bakker 20 County Bidg. Allegan 48010

COUNCIL Paul N. Baker

Paul N. Baker
Bloomfield Hills
Deborah A. Carson
Berkley

Patricia N. Conlon Portage John Forczak

Detroit Catherine H. Gardner

Detroit Erma L. Gillis-Heck

Detroit
Judy A. Hartsfield
Detroit

Bette Huster Detroit

Kathryne A. O'Grady Aun Arbor Michael S. Silver

Detroit
Hon. John W. Unger
Bellaire
Hon. Elizabeth A. Wegver

Traverse City
Angela Williams

Jeffrey M. Young Southfield Hon. Joan E. Young

EX-OFFICIO Richard Scott Ryder

Richard Scott Ryder

Eniamazoo

COMMISSIONER LIAISON

Elizabeth A. Jamieson
Grand Rapids

SECTION COMMITTEES

CHILD ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
CHILD WATCH COMMITTEE
EDUCATION COMMITTEE
MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE
NEWSLETTER COMMITTEE

Representative Nyc Committee on Judiciary and Civil Rights Michigan House of Representatives P.O. Box 30014 Lansing, MI 48909-7514

RE: Committee hearing held on April 17, 1996 concerning Juvenile Bills.

Dear Representative Nye:

I am writing on behalf of the Juvenile Law Section Council of the State Bar concerning the above bills. It is our unanimous position to oppose the adoption of any of these bills. We are comprised of attorneys, prosecutors, Juvenile Court referees and Probate Judges who practice daily in juvenile courts throughout the state.

Why are SB 682, SB 698, SB 697, HB 4128 and HB 4445 necessary? Laws and court rules already exist that cover these concerns. The Court can order parents to come and participate in their juveniles case and treatment. Line-ups have always been permissible when appropriate. In 1988, 20 offenses were targeted so upon conviction fingerprints could be forwarded to the identification bureau. Whether a juvenile is a gang member can be considered at disposition to enhance sentencing or a factor whether to waive to adult court.

HB 4037 and HB 4371 are probably unconstitutional. Included in the 1988 changes were adequate solutions if a juvenile violated their probation to Department of Social Services.

SB 700, HB 4038 and HB 4044 have the effect of limiting the judge's discretion. This has a serious chilling effect upon the historical concept of parents patriae which is the cornerstone of juvenile philosophy. Each juvenile descrives their case evaluated on its own individual merits.



Bar of Michigan Juvenile Law Section

The Michael Franck Building 306 Townsend Street Lansing, Michigan 48933-2083 Telephone (517) 372-9030

State

CHAIRPERSON

Scott K. Hanson 234 W. Baraga Ave., Ste. 254 Marquette 49855-4751

CHAIRPERSON-ELECT Thomas G. Doetsch 1025 E. Forest

Detroit 48207-1024
SECRETARY-TREASURER

Margaret Zuzich Bakker 20 County Bldg. Allegan 48010

COUNCIL Paul N. Baker

Bloomfield Hills
Deborah A. Carson

Patricia N. Conlon Portage John Forczak

Detroit Catherine H. Gardner

Detroit Erma L. Gillis-Heck

Detroit
Judy A. Hartsfield

Detreit Bette Huster

Kathryne A. O'Grady

Michael S. Silver

Detroit

Hon. John W. Unger

Hon. Elizabeth A. Weaver

Angela Williams
Detroit

Jeffrey M. Young Southfield Hon, Joan E. Young

EX-OFFICIO Richard Scott Ryder

COMMISSIONER LIAISON Elizabeth A. Jamieson Grand Rapids

SECTION COMMITTEES

CHILD ADVOCACY COMMITTEE
CHILD WATCH COMMITTEE
EDUCATION COMMITTEE
MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE
NEWSLETTER COMMITTEE

SB 281, SB 283 and SB 724 contradict the "get tough" attitude reflected in many of these bills as well as the 1988 changes. Those juveniles who are waived by the traditional method are not segregated from adult prisoners. Why should there be any greater consideration for those waived pursuant to these bills?

SB 867, SB 689, SB 870, HB 4490, HB 4486 and HB 4487 either lower the age for waiver or add offenses which can be waived. This is an inappropriate knee-jerk reaction resulting from the poor implementation and compliance with the vast juvenile legislature changes made in 1988. If the law is applied as written, then the serious, habitual or violent juvenile offenders can be dealt with. No new laws are necessary nor will they change judges' prejudices, fears or inabilities to administer the law when the results are harsh upon the juvenile.

Those who administer the laws should be held accountable for not following the laws that exist. (Let us make what exist work first before any changes are proposed.) Otherwise, changes are ineffective. We respectfully request that you do not adopt any of these bills. We invite you to our monthly meetings so a greater exchange can take place. We will meet on May 3 and June 6, 1996 at the State Bar Building in Lansing. We would like greater input.

Sincerely.

Thomas Doetsch

Chairperson Elect

Juvenile Law Section (313) 833-0172