

Barbara Jackson alleges in her complaint that she was grabbed by the officer, thrown to the garage floor, kicked in the back twice and that the same officer shortly after slammed her head against a brick wall. Investigation and personal interviews reveals to the writer that the above statement is one of three versions that she has given to various persons during the course of this investigation. Check following attached statements.

1. C.R.B. statement.
2. Statement made to Dr. Polk.
3. Statement made by Dr. Matthews.

Both officers, Patrolmen Peterson and Wojtalewicz have made precinct statements and have later corroborated them in detail in interviews at the C.R.B. Patrolman Peterson states that Barbara Jackson was a violent and obstreperous person who wrenched from his grasp as he was leading her into the station, fell to the ground and injured herself. Patrolman Peterson denies the allegations made by Barbara Jackson regarding her version of the manner in which she was injured. Patrolman Wojtalawicz corroborates in substance the statement made by Patrolman Peterson and this officer also denies the allegations made by Barbara Jackson. (See attached statements)

The only disinterested witness to the incident in question is John Cody a civilian who had complained that Barbara Jackson had robbed him. This man who is ostensibly an upright citizen had entered into an illegal and immoral contract with Barbara Jackson to have sexual relations on a commercial basis (Monetary consideration). During the process of completing the act he became convinced that Barbara Jackson further violated the law by robbing him. He later discovered at the station that he had erred regarding his accusations of robbery (found money in back pocket) and subsequently dropped all charges against Barbara Jackson and returned to his home in Canada. John J. Cody has made a statement regarding the incident in the garage which corroborates the officers version.

Agent Petersen of the F.B.I. has attempted to contact John J. Cody with negative results. Sergeant Paul Sass of the First Precinct with the aid of the Windsor Police Department received a phone call from John J. Cody. Mr. Cody stated he had made a factual statement, that he would not return to the United States to press charges and further that it was his desire that the matter be closed. (Statements attached)

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. A thorough perusal of the statements and documents in the instant case reveal the following pertinent facts.
2. That Barbara Jackson was engaged in a crime (commercial prostitution) when she was accused (falsely) of perpetrating a second crime (robbery).
3. Barbara Jackson committed a third crime in assaulting the person of John J. Cody in the officers presence. It became necessary to handcuff her to prevent further assault on the complainant, notwithstanding the fact that department regulations require that all felons be handcuffed during transfer.

4. The officers in the lawful pursuit of enforcing the law in a depressed and crime ridden area and being well aware of the modus operandi of the criminal element therein, came upon a crime in progress. In good faith and on information supplied by a complainant the officers took proper action as the circumstances indicated.

5. Regardless of the subsequent information received from the complainant in the station that he erred in the accusation of robbery and his later decision to drop all charges, this later information does not nullify the officers position of having lawfully and in good faith having arrested Barbara Jackson.

6. That any injury that Barbara Jackson may have sustained while in the custody of the Detroit Police Department were the direct results of her own un-cooperative and unreasonable behavior. Investigation into the extent of Barbara Jackson's injuries indicates that at the time of the incident her injuries were of a slight nature. That any statement wherein Barbara Jackson may have implied that her excessive injuries were due to the conduct of officers of the Detroit Police Department is not substantiated in this investigation.

7. The officers are aware of all allegations made by Barbara Jackson and have catagorically denied them. The officer's version is verified by John J. Cody. In the doctor's statement the extent and seriousness of the injuries and their instant and subsequent pathology is expounded and conjectured upon. (See attached statements)

8. Barbara Jackson at the time the initial complaint was made to Insp. Harcourt gave the impression that the extremely swollen condition of her lip was clearly evident at the time of her release from police custody. This same impression was given to the Detroit Courier and printed by them. (See attached copies) Subsequent information from Barbara Jackson and others indicates that she allowed this false impression to be expounded upon with malicious intent to bring the Detroit Police Department into ill repute in the community.

9. Barbara Jackson's insistence that the officer involved had Badge #2906 is in error. This badge number is not in use at the present time as the officer retired and the number has not been re-issued. There is however, no doubt about the officers involved.

10. That the allegations of Barbara Jackson have no basis in fact and her injuries were sustained as a result of her own lawless and irresponsible actions.

11. The officers conformed to the rules and regulations of the Detroit Police Department and in a manner prescribed by law.

12. Recommend no further action in this case. Forwarded for your information and whatever further action you deem necessary.

APPROVED

SEP 8 1964

Inspector

DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT

Commanding Officer, Community Relations Bureau