

*all*  
Robert Tindel---I wonder at the timing, two weeks after election. Then he went on to talk about the John Birch Society spending 5 million in this area against Civil Rights. Said he might be frisked himself and that the New York law would cause "us" all kinds of embarrassment. He said there should be a law registering knives. He added that announcing there are not enough police only encourages crime. In his opinion, he said, we are trying to legalize what we are now doing illegally.

Rev James

Chambers----- This would put a premium on suspicion. People in certain communities would always be regarded as suspicious. Only chipping at the problem. This is not a police state. This is no good for the community, no good for the city and no good for the state. We need more and better policemen

Stanley

Winkelman----Said he was concerned over vigorous and equal enforcement. Disturbed at the suddenness of this proposal and it takes us back five years. More policemen is only temporary and costly. I think he meant policemen on overtime but he did not put it that way. He said it was a "major mistake" and that there should have been some consultation beforehand to sell such a program, also should have been more study of the proposal. Now, he said he is trapped into a position where he has to approve or be against.

Mazey again----Crime is up in New York where they have the search and frisk law. Crime is down in Chicago where there is no such law. This could cause dissension in the community.

Rev. Joe

Roberts-----He said AMEN to all that had been said. Policemen have no competence to judge who is suspicious. They have little competence in this field.

Rev. Roy Allen---This may start something we are trying to avoid. Hoped your intentions were good. Does not want to oppose but thinks you should call for a discussion. Said this was a bad mistake and hopes it can be cleared up.

Tindel again---- Try more police, one man cars before legislation. Not a police state. Police are servants of the people. Should have Civil Rights speakers like himself in on the WSU police program. In that way could get rid of police animosity. Said police always ask why the NAACP always fronts for the criminal. He said this is not true and with NAACP speakers on the WSU program this could get rid of the police animosity towards Negro.

Millie Jeffries---has a three pointer. 1) First reaction that what was said in the campaign about crime was true. 2) This is not the way to initiate such a program. People are concerned about enforcement and prevention but there should be large scale discussion. 3) A crime conference would deal at the roots of the problem. Should get some new ideas about working with young people in trouble. Now is the time to dig into the roots at a broad level.